



### Norwegian pumped hydro for providing peak load power in Northern Europe

**Cost comparison against OCGT** 

RERC, Oslo, 17. June 2014

Magnus Korpås, NTNU Sverre Aam, SINTEF Energi Ove Wolfgang, SINTEF Energi

#### **Balancing of renewables in Europe**



#### Study of power production cost in Europe

- Only cost is considered
  - Market simulation not included
  - Assessment of the most cost-effective solutions in the near term
- In-house study
  - Time period 2025-2050
  - Based on IEA ETP scenarios and figures
  - Gas, Coal and Nuclear cost model according to report for UK Dept. of Energy and Climate Change
  - Pumped hydro storage and grid data based on Norwegian figures; NVE and Statnett



NTEF



3

#### Three scenarios 2025 – 2050 perspective

- 1. 2DS IEA 450 Scenario:
  - Gas price 29.5 € /MWh
  - CO<sub>2</sub> price 93.9 €/ton
- 2. 4DS IEA New Policy Scenario:
  - Gas price 34.8 €/MWh
  - $CO_2$  price 35.2 €/ton
- 3. Low Gas price Europe:

NTNU

- Gas price 19.7 €/MWh (USA level)
- CO<sub>2</sub> price 35.2 €/ton (as 4DS)





#### Norwegian hydropower for balancing

- The reservoirs are natural lakes
  - Multi-year reservoirs
  - Largest lake stores 8 TWh
  - Total 84 TWh reservior capacity
- Balancing capacity estimates 2030
  - 29 GW installed at present
  - + 10 GW with larger tunnels and generators
  - + 20 GW pumped storage
  - 30 GW total new capacity
    - Within todays environmental limits
  - Requires more transmission capacity











#### Peak load and base load have different cost



### Pumped hydro power is cost-effective for balancing in all scenarios



NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology (SINTEF)

#### **Balancing Reserve Capacity vs Energy**



### Study model 1 – Integration of balancing markets

Fundamental model	Detailed water course description About 300 thermal power plants Transmission corridors (NTC)		
Northern Europe	Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden Germany, Netherlands, Belgium		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
System scenarios	2010 – current state of the system 2020 – a future state of the system		
Several climatic years	Hydrology (Inflow) Temperature Wind speed		

### **Country wise annual balancing reserve allocation (GWh/yr)**





SINTEF

NTNU

Norwegian University of Science and Technology 🔘

Source: Jaehnert (NTNU) 10

### Total balancing market costs for different wind forecast horizons



 $1 \mathrm{NU}$  Norwegian University of Science and Technology (SINTEF)

Source: Jaehnert (NTNU) 11

# Study model 2 – Integration of balancing markets

- Detailed European grid model based on DC power flow
- Representation of day-ahead, intraday and balancing markets
- Co-optimizating day-ahead scheduels and reserve procurements based on forecasts
- Scenarios for load, generation and grid capacity year 2020 and 2030



Source: Farahmand (NTNU/SINTEF)

ITFF

 $\mathrm{TNU}$  Norwegian University of Science and Technology (

## Large benefits of integrating the Northern and continental balancing markets

Total annual balancing cost savings (Mill.EURO)





SINTEF Source: Farahmand (NTNU/SINTEF)

## Significant additional savings are achieved with intra-day markets



Total annual balancing cost savings

#### Activated reserves



#### Summary

- Norwegian pumped hydro is cost-effective for balancing
  - Large potential
  - Large flexibility and multiple uses
  - Requires European collaboration
- An efficient and integrated power market is an enabler for high RE penetration
  - Reduces the need for expensive storage
  - Reduces the need for expensive reserves
- Comprehensive studies of balancing markets in Northern Europe
  - Large benefits of integrated markets for balancing resources
  - Large benefits of integrated markets for intra-day trading